

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,693	01/17/2002	Yeou-Ching Lee	P65993US1	5823
7	7590 09/10/2003			
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004			EXAMINER	
			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1732	•
		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/046,693 Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 1732
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE $\frac{\mathcal{T}_{HREE}(3)}{\mathcal{T}_{HREE}(3)}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu	
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	
Disposition of Claims	
✓ Claim(s) / - /5	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
✓ Claim(s) 1-5 AND 8-15	is/are rejected.
>Claim(s) 6 -7	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	
in this national stage application from the International I *Certified copies not received:	
Atta hment(s)	
Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	) □ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R vi w, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Act	ion Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 3

Serial Number: 10/046,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalton.

Dalton discloses or suggests the basic claimed process for manufacturing a molded article including (1) preparing a mold having a mold cavity that has a shape conforming to that of the molded article, (2) preparing a flexible hollow member and a supporting member, the hollow member having an open end and confining an inner passage, (3) inserting the supporting member into the flexible hollow member in a manner that the supporting member extends through the open end and into an inner passage to prevent the hollow member from collapsing during molding, (4) placing an assembly of the hollow member and the supporting member into the mold cavity, (5) introducing a molding raw material into the mold cavity around the hollow member to form a molded part around the hollow member such that the hollow member is exposed from the molded part (Fig. 5), (6) removing the molded part together with the hollow member and supporting member from the mold cavity, and (7) withdrawing the supporting member and the hollow member from the molded part to form a channel in the molded part. Dalton appears not to teach the aspect of closing the mold, but such is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in situations where closing of the mold is necessary to contain a molding raw material.

Serial Number: 10/046,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Dalton teaches or suggests the flexibility of a supporting member and bent or curved portions of a tube, as in claims 2-3. Forming a hollow member of plastic or elastomeric material, for example silicone rubber, as in claim 4, is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to form a curved passageway. Use of a positioning groove in a mold cavity, as in claim 5, is also well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to more securely position the hollow member. Note that Chini (2,897,556) suggests forming a groove in the sand at column 2, lines 20-21.

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,337,044. This is a double patenting rejection.

Serial Number: 10/046,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

5. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

allan R. Huhrs

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

9-4-03